UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,678	04/26/2005	Masahiro Ishikawa	2005_0715A 4376		
	7590 06/09/201 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER			
1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			TSAY, MARSHA M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1656		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/09/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

Office Astion Communication		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/532,6	78	ISHIKAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			•	Art Unit			
		Marsha M	. Tsay	1656			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on th	e cover sheet with the o	correspondence ac	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEMENT IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IS IN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be pely received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no ex- tion. period will apply and w y statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 01 March 2010					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
′=	Since this application is in condition for a			osecution as to the	e merits is		
- /	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from co					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗆 '	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b	objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.		
Priority เ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen			4) Intonious Summer	(/PTO 442\			
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	148)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

This Office action is in response to Applicants' remarks received March 1, 2010.

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered and are deemed to be persuasive to overcome some of the rejections previously applied. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous Office actions are hereby withdrawn.

Claims 5-8 are canceled. Claims 1-4 are currently under examination.

Priority: The request for priority to JAPAN 2002-328243, filed November 12, 2002, is acknowledged.

Objections and Rejections

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

Claim 1 has currently been amended to include the proviso that neither a sulfurous acid nor sulfite is added to the solution. Applicants point to page 3, lines 21-23 and page 4, lines 14-16 of the instant specification for support. The instant specification page 4 lines 10-15, discloses that the problems associated with known fractionation methods can be remedied by the addition

Art Unit: 1656

of a large amount of a sulfurous acid compound in a method for separating 7S globulin and 11S globulin. However, the instant specification does not appear to have explicit support for said proviso. The present invention proposes to solve the problems associated with the known fractionation methods by heat treatment under acidic conditions (p. 6 lines 18-21). Therefore, there does not appear to be explicit or implicit support for the proviso that neither a sulfurous acid nor sulfite is added to the solution containing soybean protein.

See also MPEP 2173.05(i). Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. If alternative elements are positively recited in the specification, they may be explicitly excluded in the claims. See *In re Johnson*, 558 F.2d 1008, 1019, 194 USPQ 187, 196 (CCPA 1977) ("[the] specification, having described the whole, necessarily described the part remaining."). See also *Ex parte Grasselli*, 231 USPQ 393 (Bd. App. 1983), *aff'd mem.*, 738 F.2d 453 (Fed. Cir. 1984). The mere absence of a positive recitation is not basis for an exclusion.

In their response received March 1, 2010, Applicants have amended claim 1 to include the proviso that neither a sulfurous acid nor sulfite is added to the solution. Applicants further assert that the amendment overcomes the 103(a) rejection because Howard et al. disclose a method comprising using 0.05 mM to about 5.0 mM sulfite ion.

Response: The 103(a) rejection of the previous Office action has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.

However, the instant claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, written description, as reciting new matter. The reasons for the new matter rejection are noted above.

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marsha M. Tsay whose telephone number is (571)272-2938. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Manjunath N. Rao can be reached on 571-272-0939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,678 Page 5

Art Unit: 1656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Maryam Monshipouri/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656

May 25, 2010

M. Tsay Art Unit 1656